UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC.,

Civil No. 2:22-cv-00293-JRG (Lead Case)

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

NETLIST, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 2:22-cv-00294-JRG (Member Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SAMSUNG'S SUR-REPLY TO NETLIST'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING SAMSUNG'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF LACHES, ESTOPPEL, AND/OR WAIVER (DKT. 342)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	11
TABLE OF EXHIBITS TO SAMSUNG'S OPPOSITION	111
TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS	111

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Abbott Labs. v. CVS Pharm., Inc., 290 F.3d 854 (7th Cir. 2002)	1
Optis Wireless Technology, LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., No. 2:17-CV-00123-JRG-RSP, 2018 WL 3375192 (E.D. Tex. July 11, 2018)	1
Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC, No. 2:15-CV-351-JRG, 2018 WL 915125 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 15, 2018)	1

TABLE OF EXHIBITS TO SAMSUNG'S OPPOSITION

#	Description
1	Declaration of Joseph McAlexander (exhibits omitted)
2	Initial Report of Joseph McAlexander (served Nov. 20, 2023) (excerpts)
3	Rebuttal Report of Joseph McAlexander (served Dec. 21, 2023) (excerpts)
4	Declaration of Lauren Kindler (exhibits omitted)
5	Rebuttal Report of Lauren Kindler (served Dec. 21, 2023) (excerpts)
6	Transcript of Pre-Trial Conference from EDTX1 (March 28, 2023) (public, excerpts)

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
DDR4	Double Data Rate 4
[F]RAND	[Fair,] Reasonable and Non-Discriminatory
JDLA	Joint Development & License Agreement, see Dkt. 337
JEDEC	JEDEC Solid State Technology Association
SEP	Standard-Essential Patent
SSMF	Samsung's Counter-Statement of Material Facts
SSO	Standard-Setting Organization

In its reply, Netlist confirms that the sole issue with Samsung's affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel, and/or waiver for purposes of its summary judgment motion is whether the Court has jurisdiction to consider a defense that implicates an offer to . Netlist acknowledges that its arguments on essentiality and equitable estoppel are addressed in other motions.

Netlist's arguments about jurisdiction are simply wrong. To say that a district court lacks jurisdiction over a *claim* invoking foreign rights is not the same as saying it lacks jurisdiction to consider an *affirmative defense* to a U.S. patent infringement claim merely because that defense implicates foreign rights. "[D]efenses do not require an independent jurisdictional footing[.]" *Abbott Labs. v. CVS Pharm., Inc.*, 290 F.3d 854, 857 n.1 (7th Cir. 2002). Thus, Netlist's contention that the Court lacks jurisdiction is without merit. Netlist's contention that Samsung cited "no authority to support this position" is wrong. Samsung's response was replete with authority; Netlist simply ignored it. Dkt. 425 at 4 (collecting authority).

Netlist's reliance on *Optis Wireless Technology, LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co.*, No. 2:17-CV-00123-JRG-RSP, 2018 WL 3375192, at *8 (E.D. Tex. July 11, 2018) is improper. *Optis Wireless* properly analyzes the exercise of jurisdiction to hear *claims* brought by either a patentee or an accused infringer. It says nothing about jurisdiction to hear *defenses*. Further, in *Optis Wireless*, Judge Payne specifically relied on the fact that PanOptis was seeking "declaratory relief" of rights under foreign patents—a fact Netlist ignores. *Id.* Samsung is not seeking a declaration for foreign patents. It solely seeks to defend itself against Netlist's U.S. patent claims.

Finally, nothing in *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC*, No. 2:15-CV-351-JRG, 2018 WL 915125 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 15, 2018) supports Netlist's proposal to "sever" the Third Additional Defense. *Saint Lawrence* describes how the jury heard about FRAND issues, particularly for the damages side of the case. Samsung's response describes the relevance of those FRAND issues to damages here, yet Netlist ignores that relevance. The Court should deny Netlist's motion.

Dated: February 14, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Lauren A. Degnan

Melissa Richards Smith melissa@gillamsmith.com GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 South Washington Ave. Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 934-8450 Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

J. Travis Underwood Texas Bar No. 24102587 travis@gillamsmithlaw.com GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 102 North College Avenue, Suite 800 Tyler, Texas 75702

Telephone: (903) 934-8450 Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Brian R. Nester
DC Bar No. 460225
bnester@cov.com
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Telephone: (202)-662-6000

Alice J. Ahn
CA Bar No. 271399/DC Bar No. 1004350
aahn@cov.com
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 591-7091
Facsimile: (415) 955-6571

Ruffin B. Cordell TX Bar No. 04820550 cordell@fr.com Michael J. McKeon D.C. Bar No. 459780 mckeon@fr.com Lauren A. Degnan D.C. Bar No. 452421 degnan@fr.com Daniel A. Tishman DC Bar No. 1013923 tishman@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1000 Maine Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20024 Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

Francis J. Albert CA Bar No. 247741 albert@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 12860 El Camino Real, Ste. 400 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

Thomas H. Reger II reger@fr.com Texas Bar No. 24032992 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 747-5070

Telephone: (214) 747-5070 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5 on February 14, 2024. As of this date, all counsel of record have consented to electronic service and are being served with a copy of this document through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A) and via electronic mail.

